

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.50731 of 2022 (O&M)**

Date of decision: 19<sup>th</sup> October, 2023

Nirmal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Anureet S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab  
for the respondent/State.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The prayer in the instant petition is for issuance of appropriate direction to the respondents No.3 and 4 to look into the representation dated 12.08.2021 (Annexure P-1) made by the petitioner and to take legal action against respondents No.5 and 6.

2. Learned counsel submits that vide representation (Annexure P-1), respondent No.3 – Senior Superintendent of Police, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) had been duly intimated about the fraud committed upon the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6. However, the authorities concerned had failed to initiate any action against the offenders. Learned counsel contends that despite respondent No.3 having been apprised about the commission of cognizable offence by the accused persons, he had failed to proceed against them under the

provisions of law. It has been submitted that the respondent No.3 was under a legal obligation to pass an appropriate order on his representation.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents No.1 to 4.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondents.

5. In the wake of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of and respondent No.3 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District SAS Nagar (Mohali) is directed to look into his grievance and take appropriate steps, if any required, under the provisions of law. However, if respondent No.3 does not find the commission of any cognizable offence as alleged or any substance in the allegations levelled in the representation dated 12.08.2021 (Annexure P-1) then the petitioner shall be duly informed as per the parameters laid down by the Supreme Court in *Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.* 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 979.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

October 19, 2023  
rps

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |