

2023:PHHC:141791-DB

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21750-2023

Date of decision: 07.11.2023

Arvind Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation
Ltd. and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following
substantive relief:-

***“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226 & 227
of the Constitution of India praying for an appropriate
writ, order or direction especially a writ in the nature of
Mandamus directing the respondents to accept the balance
payment and hand over the physical possession of plot No-
120, Sector-25 Part-1 Industrial Estate, Panipat, to the
petitioner, which was duly allotted to petitioner vide
regular allotment letter No.HSIIDC:EST/2019/426-429
Dated 31.10.2019 (Annexure P-3), in the time bound
manner, in the interest of justice, equity and fair play.”***

The limited grievance that is being expressed by the learned
for the petitioner is that prior to the institution of this petition, the
petitioner had served respondent authorities with a representation dated
05.07.2023 (P-5) qua his concerns/grievances. However, even though, a
considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible
progress.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, along with Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, and Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, on behalf of respondent No.3, are present in Court.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, learned counsel for the respondent-HSIIDC, submits that in case any such representation is, indeed, pending before the competent authority, the same shall be considered. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed thereon, as expeditiously as possible.

To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

Needless to assert, this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

07.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No