

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22290-2023

Date of decision:20.11.2023

Satya Narayan Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahari Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP) formerly Haryana Urban
Development Authority (HUDA) and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner purports to be 64 years of age and is stated to be suffering from multiple ailments.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in response to the brochure issued by the Haryana Urban Development Authority, the petitioner applied for allotment of a 6 marla residential plot in Sector-57, Gurugram. He further submits that concededly, the petitioner was unsuccessful in the draw of lots, but the limited grievance that he has is: even though nearly two decades have gone by, the earnest money (Rs.51,030/-), he had deposited along with the application way back in April, 2004, has not yet been refunded. So much so, the representations dated 21.08.2018 (P-5) and 25.05.2022 (P-6), the authorities were served with by the petitioner, have failed to evoke any response. And, it was in this backdrop, being choiceless, the petitioner has approached this Court.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that he has instructions that the authorities are willing to refund the entire amount deposited by the petitioner, along with interest, in terms of the policies of the HSVP itself. He further submits that the requisite amount, along with interest, shall be credited in

the bank account of the petitioner within a period of one week from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

However, in the event, the amount, as undertaken by learned counsel for the respondents, is not credited in the account of the petitioner, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of this petition and for necessary orders.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

20.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No