

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21545-2023**

**Date of decision: 29.11.2023**

Surender and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Corporation Limited and  
another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. R.S. Malik, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J.**( Oral )

The petitioners herein have prayed for the following  
substantive relief:-

*“Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the  
Constitution of India for the issuance of a Writ in the  
nature of Mandamus for directing the Respondents to  
consider the applications dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure  
P-11 to P-14), for allotting the industrial plot under the  
R/R Scheme/notification dated 09.11.2020 (P-9) along  
with all consequential benefits, in the interest of justice.*

**AND/OR**

*To issue any other writ, order to direction which  
this Hon’ble High Court may deem fit and proper in the  
peculiar facts and circumstances of the case.”*

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSIIDC, is present in Court. He, as always, very fairly submits that let this petition be disposed of, to enable the respondent authorities to consider the concerns/grievances of the petitioners in the right earnest and pass necessary orders, in accordance with law, within eight weeks from today. He further submits that before

any such orders are passed, the petitioners shall also be afforded an opportunity of hearing.

Learned counsel for petitioners is agreeable to the course suggested by the learned counsel for respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in view of the statement made by him.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, this petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall consider/examine the matter in issue, and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law, within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondents, assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

29.11.2023  
Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |