

CWP No. 21620 of 2023

2023:PHHC:127517

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP No. 21620 of 2023

Date of Decision : 03.10.2023

Mohit Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Kamaljeet Singh Mamrat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Harpriya Kanika, Advocate for the respondent-HPSC.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the selection of respondent No. 3 against the post of Deputy Director (Industrial Promotion)(Group-A) in Industries & Commerce Department, Haryana in pursuance to the Advertisement No. 2(2) of 2019 (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that keeping in view the detailed result dated 06.09.2023 declared by the respondents, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-10, the petitioner has secured more marks than the private respondent No. 3 but still he has been selected for the post in question and the petitioner has been ignored, that too without any valid justification.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file reply of the petition. Ms. Harpriya Kanika, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent-HPSC.

Learned counsel for the respondent-Commission submits that though the total marks secured by the petitioner are more than the private respondent No. 3 but as per the selection criteria, the candidate was required to secure 50% marks in the viva-voce/interview so as to remain eligible in the competition, whereas, the petitioner has failed to secure 50% marks in the interview so as to be considered eligible for selection to the post in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no such selection criteria was supplied to the petitioner despite the fact that he has already filed a representation raising the said claim before the authorities concerned. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the respondents be directed to supply him the selection criteria so that he can avail appropriate remedy available to him in case, he is aggrieved against the said criteria.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any application/representation is received from the petitioner for the supply of the criteria, the same will be supplied within a period of seven days of the receipt of any such application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to avail appropriate remedy against the selection criteria in case the petitioner is aggrieved against the same.

Ordered accordingly.

October 03, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No