

2023:PHHC:132222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CRWP-9565-2023

Date of decision : 11.10.2023

Savita and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Ms. Ishita Negi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 9.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per petitioners, they have married on 21.09.2023 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 9, who happen to be the relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Savita.

5. Both the petitioners claim themselves to be major stating that petitioner No.1-Savita is 10.05.2004 born and petitioner No.2-Balram is 05.08.1997 born as per their Aadhar Cards.

2023:PHHC:132222

6.

Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 21.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) filed by the petitioners.
7.

Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 9 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.
8.

The petition stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

11.10.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No