

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

111

CWP-21877-2023
Date of Decision: 29.09.2023

BALBIR SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G., Haryana for respondents No.4 and 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for seeking permission to irrigate the land which has been denied by the Sub Divisional Magistrate, as the land where the tube-well is installed is under attachment in the proceedings carried out under Section 145/146 of the Cr.P.C. and a Naib Tehsildar has also been appointed as receiver.

The alternative prayer made by the petitioner was to release a separate connection against the land where there is no dispute.

It is, however, apparent that till date, no such request for release of electricity connection in a different and undisputed land has been made to the competent Authority in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, thus, does not press the instant petition at this stage so as to pursue his alternative remedies as per law.

Disposed of as not pressed at this stage with the liberty as aforesaid.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 29, 2023

rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No