

CRWP-9550-2023

114

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9550-2023 (O & M)

Date of decision: 26.09.2023

Harmanjeet and anr.

..... Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Ms. Harmanjeet-petitioner No.1
and Mr. Karandeep Singh-petitioner No.2 in person.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

On account of the suspension of the work declared by the Bar Association, the matter is taken up on the request of the petitioners, who are present in the Court.

The petitioners have approached this Court for issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.1 to 3 for protecting their lives and liberties which according to them are at stake because they are in a live-in-relationship. The petitioner No.1 claim herself to be major whereas petitioner No.2-Karandeep Singh is a minor being of the age of 19 years and 01 month only. According to the copies of the Aadhar Cards (Annexure P-1 and P-2), the exact date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 04.08.2005 and the date of birth of the petitioner No.2 is 20.10.2003 (Annexure P-2).

They submit that a marriage is not a must for providing security to a couple in a 'live-in-relationship' because protection qua life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. They have also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in '*Sarabjeet Kaur and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-102-2022 decided on 07.01.2022 and '*Goutam Kumar and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-8088-2021 decided on 26.08.2021

CRWP-9550-2023

114

and ‘*Bharti and Another versus State of U.T., Chandigarh & others, CRWP-9470-2020, decided on 19.11.2020*’.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents. Since no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed, therefore, there is no necessity of inviting any response.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioners, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala (Punjab) to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order in the representation allegedly filed by the petitioners before him on 19.09.2023 (Annexure P-3). It would be appreciated if necessary orders are passed within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

However, if the petitioners are found to have been involved in any other case then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

Disposed of.

September 26, 2023
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No