

2023:PHHC:063918

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

265

RSA-166-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.05.2023

Tilak Raj JainAppellant

Versus

Sham Lal Jain and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Ms. Aarti Gaur, Advocate for the appellant.

VIKAS SURI, J. (ORAL)

This regular second appeal is preferred against the concurrent judgments and decree passed by the Courts below, dismissing the suit for recovery of Rs.2,84,475/-, along with interest.

On the previous hearing, learned counsel for the appellant had informed the Court that the sole appellant has passed away and time was sought to bring on record the legal representatives.

Today, at the outset, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the legal representatives of the deceased sole appellant do not wish to pursue this appeal.

In light of the above, learned counsel for the appellant prays for withdrawal of the appeal.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

May 03, 2023
Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No