

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-21907-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 31.10.2023**

M/s Rana Enterprises

... Petitioner

Versus

Reserve Bank of India and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Tarlok, Advocate, for
Mr. Gaurav Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.2-Bank.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the substantive relief:

**“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of
Constitution of India for issuance of Writ, Order or
direction in nature of Mandamus directing the
respondent No.2 to release the Original Sale Deeds and
issue NOC with regard to loan account No.
0359008700004927, in which all the outstanding amount
has been repaid by the petitioner firm and closed the
above said account on 03.08.2022.**

AND

**Further prayed for issuance of directions to the
respondents to decide the representation dated
19.07.2023 (annexure P-3), which is still lying
unattended in the office of respondent No.2 and no
action has been taken by the respondent No.2 in this
regard.”**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had submitted a representation before the respondent authorities on 28.07.2023 (P-3). And, even though a considerable time has elapsed, but the matter has not made any tangible progress.

Upon being served with an advance copy of the petition, Mr. Tarlok, Advocate, for Mr. Gaurav Goel, learned counsel for respondent No.2, is present in Court. He submits that let this petition be disposed of only with a direction to the respondent authority to consider and deal with the concerns/grievance of the petitioner, as raised in the representation (**ibid**), and pass appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for respondent No.2. However, he submits that respondent(s) be directed to decide the representation by the petitioner within a specified time.

Accordingly, the petition is disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties. And, as undertaken by learned counsel for respondent No.2, the respondent authority shall pass the necessary order on the representation submitted by the petitioner, referred to above, within a period of four weeks from today. Needless to assert that a speaking order, assigning reasons in support thereof, shall be passed. Which shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

It is made clear that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the

respondent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

31.10.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO