

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.1014 of 2022 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 06.09.2023**

Natasha Sawhney

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate,  
for applicant-Ishaan Sood.

\* \* \* \*

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)**

**CRMs No.32800, 32802 & 32804 of 2023**

At the very outset, learned counsel for the above-named applicant seeks permission to withdraw all the three instant applications while submitting that these have become infructuous as the applicant and the petitioner-wife have amicably settled their matrimonial dispute.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant-petitioner also acknowledges the factum of the parties having entered into a compromise qua their dispute and he as well as learned State counsel submit that they have no objection in the withdrawal of the present applications.

Resultantly, all the three applications in hand stand dismissed as withdrawn, for having been rendered infructuous.

**CRM-M No.1014 of 2022**

Learned counsel for the petitioner also seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the petitioner and her husband have already arrived at a settlement/compromise qua their dispute.

Learned State counsel has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

**06.09.2023**

*seema*

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)**  
**JUDGE**

|                                   |            |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i> | <i>Yes</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable:</i>        | <i>No</i>  |