

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(101)

CWP-21659-2023

Date of decision: - 10.10.2023

Mohd. Hanif

....Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Gupta, Advocate
for Mr. Abhinav Sood, Advocate
for the respondent-UT.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take action against respondents No.7 to 12 to vacate the house of the petitioner.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to seek alternative remedy, in accordance with law.
3. In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

October 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No