

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-48261-2023
Date of decision: 09.10.2023

TEJINDER SINGH ALIAS TONY....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Gurpal Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
0031	22.02.2023	307, 326, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act.	Sadar Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2.

Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant to assist the learned State counsel and filed power of attorney. The same is taken on record.
3.

Status report dated 28.09.2023 in the form of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Sri Muktsar Sahib, has been

filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Jang Singh, Investigating Officer of the case, submits that the petitioner Tejinder Singh *alias* Tony is neither nominated as accused nor required to be arrested in case FIR No.0031 dated 22.02.2023 under Sections 307, 326, 323, 324, 148, 149 and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, P.S. Sadar, Sri Muktsar Sahib, District Muktsar Sahib. Learned State counsel further submits that he is required to be arrested in the cross-version of this FIR arising out of DDR No.29 dated 23.02.2023.

5. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner has very fairly withdrawn the present petition with liberty to file the same afresh with better particulars.

6. Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

09.10.2023

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |