

117

2023:PHHC:126224

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.9507 of 2023

Date of Decision: 25.09.2023

Navneet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.2 to 4 to ensure the protection of her life and liberty and also to conduct a fair and impartial inquiry in respect of the complaint (Annexure P-1), as moved by her against respondent No.5.

2. Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 in this case in pursuance of the copies of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance and on the instructions from ASI Kulwinder Kaur from Police Station Women Cell, Tarn Taran, he informs the Court that complaint Annexure P-1 has not yet been received in the office of the Addressee Authority.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his client will move a fresh representation to respondent No.3, exclusively

praying therein for the protection of her life and liberty only and he prays that the said respondent be directed to look into and take appropriate action thereon within some specific time-frame and he also seeks permission to withdraw the present petition to the extent of the remaining relief as sought by the petitioner in the same, while submitting that she (petitioner) would avail the appropriate and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to her under law, in respect thereof.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the above-discussed submission and also the prayer, made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. Resultantly, without commenting or expressing any opinion on merits of this petition, it stands partly disposed of with the direction to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, that in case, the petitioner moves any fresh representation to him, restricting her prayer therein to the protection of her life and liberty only, he shall look into the same and if the petitioner would be found to be genuinely deserving such protection, then he shall take appropriate action, in accordance with law, in the given set of the facts and circumstances of the matter, preferably within 10 (ten) days thereafter.

6. However, as regards the remaining relief as prayed for by the petitioner, the instant petition stands dismissed for having been withdrawn, in terms of the afore-discussed submission as made by her (petitioner's) counsel in the Court today.

25.09.2023
neetu

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No