

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

2023:PHHC:101619
CR-6385-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.08.2023

VEENA ..Petitioner
Versus
KASHMIR SINGH AND ANR ..Respondents
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Meher Kaur Cheema, Advocate
& Ms. Sangeeta Choudhary, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

On 16.03.2023, the learned counsel representing the petitioner did not attend the hearing of the case.

Today again, the position is no different.

The learned counsel representing respondent No.1 submits that the revision petition is rendered infructuous as the proceedings for grant of succession certificate has finally been concluded before the trial Court. This revision petition has arisen from an order passed by the trial Court while deciding an application under Order 1 Rule 10 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, for the impleadment of party.

Prima facie, the revision petition is rendered infructuous, however, the learned counsel representing the petitioner is not present, hence, dismissed for non-prosecution.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

August 07th, 2023 (ANIL KSHETARPAL)
Ay JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No