

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119

CRWP-9374-2023

Date of decision: 20.09.2023

Bittu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Aditya Partap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus directing the respondent Nos.2 and 3 to get the detenues mentioned in para No.2 of the petition, released from the illegal confinement of respondent Nos.4 & 5.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner along with co-labourers were contracted by respondent Nos.4 & 5 to work in their premises, thereafter, when the payment as agreed by them was not made and the petitioner and detenues demanded the same, they were refused and illegally and forcibly detained against their wishes and consent.

3. Notice of motion.

4. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Himani Arora, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

5. It is apposite to refer to a judgment passed by Hon'ble

Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 32 of 2013 **Murti vs. the State of Punjab and others**, decided on 11.01.2013, wherein it has been held as under:-

“It may be mentioned here that the allegations of the appellant in the writ petition are that the alleged detainees mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition who are working as labourers at the brick kiln of respondent Nos.4 & 5 are being kept as bonded labourers. There can indeed be no doubt that if a labourer has been detained as bonded labour, it amounts to an offence under Sections 16 & 17 of the Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976. We, however, clarify that the aforesaid observation does not mean that the allegations levelled by the appellant have been accepted. Suffice it to observe that under the Act, the District Magistrate is under statutory obligation to hold a fact finding enquiry as and when a complaint alleging violation of the provisions of Bonded Labour (Abolition) Act, 1976 is received. Since the appellant in the instant case has specifically averred that the persons mentioned in para No.3 of the writ petition have been detained as bonded labourers, we allow this appeal and set aside / modify the order dated 9.1.2013 passed by the learned Single Judge to the extent that the petitioner's writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Sangrur, to treat this writ petition as a complaint under the 1976 Act and take immediate action in accordance with law, within a period of one week from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order alongwith a copy of the writ petition.”

6. In view of the facts and circumstances of this case and above referred judicial precedent, this Criminal Writ Petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat this petition as a complaint under the Bonded Labour System (Abolition) Act, 1976 and take immediate action in accordance with law within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order along with a copy

of this writ petition.

7. A copy of this order be sent to respondent No.2 for ensuring requisite compliance.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

20.09.2023

Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No