

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9406-2023

Date of decision : 22.09.2023

SAPNA DEVI AND ANR

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per the petitioners, they have married on 18.09.2023 against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 6, who happen to be the family members/ relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Sapna Devi.

5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioner No.1-Sapna Devi is 01.01.1993 born and petitioner No.2-Sandeep Kumar is 10.08.1991 born.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Superintendent of Police, District Kurukshetra) to look into the representation dated 19.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.
7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 to 6 in his presence, so that the parties get rid off their ill feelings and live amicably.
8. The petition stands disposed off.

September 22, 2023		(Pankaj Jain)
Dpr		Judge
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No