

CRM-M-47719-2023

242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47719-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.12.2023

AKANSHA

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Through instant petition, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 446 dated 08.09.2023 registered under Sections 406, 420 of Indian Penal Code at Police Station Baldev Nagar, District Ambala Haryana.

2. On 21.09.2023, following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is a lady of the age of 36 years and the entire dispute is between the complainant and the husband of the petitioner who was employee of the complainant company earlier and there was a confidentiality clause in the terms of service which allegedly has been violated by the husband of the petitioner and thereafter the petitioner was working in the company of the husband of the petitioner and now FIR has been registered against the petitioner as well. He submitted that even otherwise also it is a civil dispute which has been given a criminal flavour.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Sheoran, DAG, Haryana

accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana and prays for some time to file an affidavit.

Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant and has filed his power of attorney which is taken on record.

Liberty is granted to the complainant to file an affidavit if so desired and so advised.

Adjourned to 21.12.2023.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to co-operate fully with the investigation process and on her doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting /Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Sukhdev Singh, submits that in compliance of order dated 21.09.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. Keeping in view the statement made by learned State Counsel the order dated 21.09.2023, is made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions enumerated in Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

5. The petition is accordingly allowed.

21.12.2023
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No