

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRM-M-49961-2022

Date of Decision: 23.03.2023

Ravi Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Lakhanpal, Advocate for
Mr. Tribhuwan Nath Sarup, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Punjab
(assisted by ASI Inderjit Singh)

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

On 29.10.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case on account of matrimonial discord between the petitioner and the complainant; the petitioner has no other criminal antecedents; the allegations with regard to demand of dowry by the petitioner and treating the complainant with cruelty are false and in any case absolutely vague and unsubstantiated; the petitioner is ready and willing to return back to the complainant all admitted articles of dowry/ istridhan; he is also ready to finally settle the matter with the complainant and that the petitioner shall also join and cooperate with the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 02.02.2023.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.34, dated 10.08.2022, registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station Women, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Inderjit Singh, submits that petitioner has joined investigation and no custodial interrogation is required.

In view of the above facts and circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 29.10.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.

If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to threat/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State

would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted by this order.

Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case and Trial Court shall proceed without being prejudiced by observations of this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

23.03.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>