

[203] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47660-2023
Date of Decision :19.12.2023

Jyoti ...Petitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present : Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On 21.09.2023, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Present:- Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Dhurv Dayal, Additional AG Punjab.

Inter alia contends that petitioner has been implicated in this case on the basis of alleged secret information to the effect that petitioner alongwith others formed a gang and used to commit theft in closed factories, and the petitioner is the head of the same. He would contend that from the perusal of FIR, no offence under Sections 380 and 457 of IPC is made out against the petitioner. The only offence attracted is under Section 411 IPC qua which there is no allegation against the petitioner. Petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged offence and has wrongly and erroneously been nominated in the present case.

Notice of motion.

Learned State counsel, on advance service of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Punjab. On a Court query as to what is the material on record to form suspicion qua petitioner being a suspect in the incident in question, learned State counsel seeks time to file status report, including antecedents of the petitioner.

Post it on 20.11.2023.

Meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and subject to his reporting to investigating officer within two weeks from today, no coercive steps qua arrest of the petitioner shall be taken. In case, his arrest is required to be caused,

petitioner shall be released on bail by Arresting Officer till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate personal bonds to his satisfaction. Petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

[2] Today, on instructions from ASI Sewa Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 21.09.2023 and is no longer required for further investigation.

[3] In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 21.09.2023, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

[4] Disposed of.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

19.12.2023
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No