

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-50239-2022
Date of Decision:-13.03.2023

LOVEDEEP SINGH ALIAS LOVE AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

-.-

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

-.-

Present:- Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate for
for the petitioners.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Paras Khindri, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Khinda, Advocate
respondent No.2.

-.-

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Present petition is for quashing of DDR No.25 dated 21.5.2022 under Sections 341, 323, 34 IPC at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala in FIR No.100 dated 21.05.2022 registered under Sections 379-B, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Section 379-B IPC deleted subsequently) at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala on the basis of compromise dated 11.10.2022 (Annexure P-3) along with other consequential proceedings arising thereto.

The abovesaid DDR was registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No.2-Harpreet Singh @ Harry against the petitioners.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the DDR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

I am of the view that the aforesaid compromise is in the welfare and interest of the parties and will enable the parties to live in peace and enjoy their life in a dignified manner. Also there is no legal impediment in

translating their wishes into reality by putting an end to the criminal prosecution initiated on the basis of FIR in question. Further as the matter has been compromised, the continuation of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the process of the Court.

For the reasons aforesaid and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, this petition is allowed and DDR No.25 dated 21.5.2022 under Sections 341, 323, 34 IPC at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala in FIR No.100 dated 21.05.2022 registered under Sections 379-B, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Section 379-B IPC deleted subsequently) at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala on the basis of compromise dated 11.10.2022 (Annexure P-3) and all the subsequent proceedings thereof are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

13.03.2023

Gaurav Sorot

(KARAMJIT SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No