

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-50201-2022

Date of Decision: January 13, 2023

Naveen

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Jitender Nara, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed cancellation of bail granted vide the order dated 21.09.2022 vide which anticipatory bail was granted to respondent No.2.

Counsel for the respondent No.2 submits that this Court may impose stringent conditions if this Court thinks it proper instead of cancelling of bail

Given above, the present petition is disposed of with further following two conditions apart from the conditions mentioned in the bail order:-

- a) that respondent No.2. shall surrender all weapons, firearms, ammunition, if any, along with the arms license to the concerned authority within ten days from today and inform the Investigator about the compliance. However, subject to the Indian Arms Act, 1959, the appellants shall be entitled to renew and take it back in case of acquittal in this case.
- b) that respondent No.2 shall not enter the property, workplace, and the residence of the victim and shall also not enter within a radius of one kilometer from the victim's home till the recording of the statements of all non-official and informal witnesses in the trial. This Court is imposing this condition to rule out any attempt by the accused to incapacitate, influence, or cause any discomfort to the victim. Reference be made to Vikram Singh v Central Bureau of Investigation, 2018 All SCR (Crl.) 458; and Aparna Bhatt v. State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021 SCC Online SC 230.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

January 13, 2023

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No