

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

**2023:PHHC:132829
CWP No.21201 of 2023
Date of Decision:12.10.2023**

Parveen Garg

....Petitioner

VS.

Union of India and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Amaninder Preet, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Neha Sharma, Central Govt. Counsel
for the Union of India

Ms. Lavanya Paul, D.A.G., Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to issue his passport.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has filed an application seeking passport and respondents are not processing the same on account of pending FIRs. There are 04 FIRs against the petitioner. The police has already filed cancellation report in two FIRs. The trial Court has also accepted cancellation report. This Court has stayed proceedings in 3rd FIR and quashing petition on the basis of compromise is pending before this Court with respect to 4th FIR.

3. Learned State counsel confirms the aforestated factual position and submits that statements of the parties with respect to compromise quashing have already been recorded on 25.08.2023.

4. Learned counsel for Union of India submits that application of the petitioner has already been closed and if he files a fresh application, it would be disposed of within six weeks from the date of filing.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.

6. In the wake of statements of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

12.10.2023
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No