

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-49669-2022
Date of decision: 22.12.2023

BHIM SINGH
Versus
....Petitioner

STATE OF HARYANA
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Rajesh Nain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

Through the instant petition, the petitioner craves for indulgence of this Court for his being enlarged on regular bail, in case FIR No.198 dated 17.07.2020, under Sections 302 and 34 of IPC, 1860, registered at Police Station Uchana, District Jind.

The case of the prosecution as set up in the FIR, reveals that it is a case of circumstantial evidence and the recovery of weapon of offence has been effected from the present petitioner.

Learned State counsel on instructions from, ASI Pawan Kumar, submits that out of the total 16 prosecution witnesses, 10 prosecution witnesses have already been examined. Therefore, at this stage, this Court is not inclined to grant the asked for relief of regular bail to the petitioner. However, a direction is issued to the learned trial Court concerned to expedite the examination of all the prosecution witnesses.

The Superintendent of Police concerned, is also directed to ensure the presence of all the prosecution witnesses, as and when called upon by the learned trial Court concerned for their examination.

Dismissed.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

22.12.2023
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No