

235

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47572-2023

Date of Decision: 08.11.2023

Sunil

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Shokeen Singh Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Bansal, Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.119 dated 16.07.2023, under Sections 419 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station Sector-11, Chandigarh.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 28.08.2023 and now the investigation of the case has already been completed and thereafter, challan has also been presented before the competent Court. He further submitted that as per the allegations, there was one co-accused, namely, Abhidh, from whom, the police had recovered the roll number and booklet and he had appeared on behalf of the petitioner in CTU Driver Exam and consequently, the petitioner was also arrested. He submitted that now the investigation of the case has been completed and the present is a case which is triable by the Magistrate and the trial of the case may take long time, therefore, the

petitioner may be considered for grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Anupam Bansal, learned Addl. P.P., U.T., Chandigarh has not denied the aforesaid factual position and stated that after investigation of the case by the police, the challan has been presented before the competent Court.

4. In view of the aforesaid position wherein the custody of the petitioner is from 28.08.2023 and the investigation of the case has already been completed and the present case is triable by the Magistrate which may take long time, this Court is of the view that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail.

5. Consequently, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

6. However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

08.11.2023.

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No