

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21029-2023

Date of decision: 20.09.2023

Diya Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Engineering College

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the decision taken by the Punjab Engineering College, Chandigarh whereby the criteria for change of branch has been modified by adding that the strength of the branch should not fall below 80%.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent-Punjab Engineering College has submitted that the recommendation dated 12.05.2022 was made in which under Clause 3, it was mentioned that maximum of 10% of the sanctioned strength of the branch should be permitted to change the branch and the strength of branch should not fall below 80% and the said recommendation was made prior to the admission of the petitioner in the

respondent college. It is further submitted that the recommendation has been duly approved by the Senate in its 99<sup>th</sup> meeting held on 19.07.2022 and the said decision has been circulated on 27.07.2022 and thereafter, uploaded on the website also. It is contended that reliance sought to be placed upon the prospectus of the year 2020-21 is not relevant as the petitioner has got the admission in the respondent college for the year 2022-23.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the said decision having been taken, he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present Civil Writ Petition with liberty to the petitioner to challenge the said decision if so advised.

4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

5. It is made clear that in case any such petition is filed then all the questions including the question of maintainability and the objections raised by the counsel appearing for the respondent would be considered independently.

**20.09.2023***Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**