

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-47678-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 15.11.2023**

Anangpal Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok S. Chaudhry, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for the respondent.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.420 dated 03.07.2023, under Sections 409 & 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra.

(2) Above FIR was registered on the basis of written application made by complainant-Tanveer Mor with the allegations that petitioner along with other co-accused Surjeet Singh, being the Clerk and Manager, respectively of the Tangore Cooperative Credit & Service Society Limited, embezzled an amount of Rs.62 Lakh.

(3) This Court, vide order dated 21.09.2023, granted interim bail to petitioner and the same reads as under:-

“Contends that now the amount of alleged embezzlement has already been deposited by the petitioner as well as co-accused Surjeet Singh, who has already been granted the concession of pre-arrest bail in CRM-M34200-2023 decided on 06.09.2023.

Notice of motion for 15.11.2023.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

(4) Learned Counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has already joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

(5) Learned State Counsel, on instructions from SI Yashpal Singh, submits that petitioner has joined investigation and as on today, his custodial interrogation is not required.

(6) In view of above, interim order dated 21.09.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(7) It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

(8) The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present bail matter.

- (9) Disposed off accordingly.
- (10) Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

November 15th, 2023
Gagan

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No