

2023:PHHC:151021

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-47733-2023

Date of decision : 23.11.2023

Sumit**..... Petitioner****versus****State of Haryana****..... Respondent****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN**

Present: Mr. S.S. Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Balvinder Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. While issuing notice of motion on 21.09.2023, following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.47 dated 30.01.2022 registered under Sections 379-B/34 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Hathin, Palwal, petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that the petitioner has been nominated on the disclosure made by co-accused namely Ravi & Yogesh in extra judicial confession. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents and has clean record.

Notice of motion for 23.11.2023.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the

2023:PHHC:151021

satisfaction of the arresting officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Nasib Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 21.09.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of above, the interim order dated 21.09.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

6. The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.

7. It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the

2023:PHHC:151021

investigation or trial.

8. Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Disposed off accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

20.11.2023
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No