

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(276) **CWP-24619-2022**
Date of Decision : November 08, 2023

Nanki Devi .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(275) **CWP-24580-2022**

Nanhi Devi .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(275-2) CWP-24873-2022

Jabar Singh .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(275-3) CWP-25030-2022

Sanjay Kumar .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

(108) CWP-24112-2023

Jai Prakash **.. Petitioner**

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner(s)
in all the petitions.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, and
Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, five writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as all the petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.

2. The claim of the petitioner(s) in the present writ petitions is that they have been working since long with the respondents but their services have not been regularized despite the fact that the State has issued a regularization policy on 01.10.2003 and services of the similarly situated employees have already been regularized under the said policy.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that all the petitioners fulfill all the requisite conditions of the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 but the respondents are not considering the claim of the petitioners, which is causing prejudice to them.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioner(s) giving the details of their appointment and the details qua fulfilling the requisite conditions of the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003 along with the details of the similarly situated employees or junior employees having already been regularized in service, the same will be given consideration and appropriate order on the said representation will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim and in case after the passing of the order, any benefit is to be extended

to the petitioner(s), the same will be extended to them within a further period of four weeks.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner(s) to file appropriate representation raising their claim for regularization of their services under the regularization policy dated 01.10.2003.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

November 08, 2023

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No