

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

**CRM-M No.49070 of 2022
Date of Decision : 21.2.2023**

Puneet Sharma

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. R.S. Pathania, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S. Manhas, Advocate, for the petitioner

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL):

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the parties have entered into a compromise and based on that they have filed a petition for divorce by mutual consent. First motion statements of the parties already stand recorded.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand, on instructions from L/ASI Rekha, submits that pursuant to the order passed by this Court dated 2.11.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and is no longer required for the purpose.

In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. Interim order dated 2.11.2022, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

21.2.2023

Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No