

2023:PHHC:057255

**245 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-49293-2022

Date of Decision: 24.04.2023.

GURWINDER SINGH @ AFRIDI AND ORS. ... PETITIONERS

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER .. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Hittan Nehra, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel submits that the offence under Section 201 IPC has also been added in the instant case.

2. As per the report of learned trial Court, the parties had not appeared before the trial Court.

3. At the first instance, in terms of the order dated 14.12.2022, the parties were directed to get the statements recorded before the learned Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/trial Court. However, the parties had not appeared to get the statements recorded and in terms of the order dated 01.03.2023, another opportunity was granted to the parties to get the statements recorded. It was further directed that for the lapse on the part of the petitioners, they shall pay costs to the tune of Rs.15,000/- to be deposited with the District Legal Services Authority, Tarn Taran and the statements shall be recorded by the learned Illaq Magistrate on submission of the receipt with regard to deposit of the costs.

4. There is nothing to indicate that the costs have been deposited.
Even as per report received from the learned trial Court, the parties had not appeared in the trial Court on 15.03.2023 to get the statements recorded and even, thereafter, on 28.03.2023 for which date, notice was issued to get the statements recorded. Furthermore, none has appeared on behalf of the private parties, despite pass over.
5. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

24.04.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No