

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21096-2023
Date of decision: 21.09.2023

Ajit Singh Aujla and others*Petitioners*

V/s

State of Punjab and others ...*Respondents*

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Bandana Dogra, Advocate for the petitioners.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

The instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to decide the representations of the petitioners dated 06.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) and to revise the pension/retiral benefits of the petitioners by revising/refixing the pension of the petitioners by implementing pension revision formula @ 2.59; 2.64; 2.67% or of the Central Government @ 2.57% by enhancing the salary of the petitioner according to the Pay Band (6th Pay Commission) expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are retired persons from the Electricity Department-PSEB now PSPCL and all the petitioners are above 75 years of age. The petitioners have good service record and there is no complaint, departmental inquiry pending against the petitioners and therefore, time to time the petitioners have been given promotions, but the petitioners have not been given their pension and retiral benefits as per the factor
i.e. pension+DA 113%+enhancement @15%. The petitioners and similarly

situated employees are demanding pension fixation as per factor or Central Government i.e. by implementing formula 2.59; 2.64; 2.67% on pension revision or Central pension fixation formula i.e. 2.57 and the petitioners have demanded enhancement @20% from 15% by implementing the 6th amended Pay Band. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners has submitted representation dated 06.05.2023(Annexure P-1) to respondents, which has not been taken into consideration till date and the petitioners would be satisfied if necessary directions are given for deciding the representation in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1 and Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate has put in appearance in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 to 8 by filing his *memo of appearance* and they do not object to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in the representation dated 06.05.2023(Annexure P-1), respondents are directed to decide the representation, by passing a speaking order within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order with a further direction that if the petitioners are found to be entitled to the claim mentioned in the abovesaid representation, necessary disbursement of funds shall be made to them within a period of 8 weeks thereafter.

The petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

21.09.2023
Sapna