

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-47237-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.10.2023

Saurabh Kumar

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Sandeep.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 has been filed for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in FIR No.20 dated 16.06.2023, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short, '*the IPC*'), registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, District Rohtak.

2. Above FIR was registered on the basis of statement made by one Pankaj Jindal with the allegations that petitioner committed fraud of Rs.14,25,000/- on the pretext of closing Over Draft Limit with M/s Bajaj Finserv.

3. This Court, on 19.09.2023, granted interim bail to petitioner and relevant part of the same is recapitulated as under:-

“Contends inter-alia that neither petitioner was named in the FIR; nor any other criminal case is pending against him.

Notice of motion for 17.10.2023.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest,

the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

4. Contends that in terms of the aforesaid order, petitioner has already joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.
5. Above factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from the police officer present in Court and further stated that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required at this stage.
6. In view of above, interim order dated 19.09.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
7. It is also made clear that petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.
8. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present bail matter.
9. Disposed off accordingly.

17.10.2023

atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No