

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

247

**CWP-26443-2021 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 16.11.2023.**

SUBITA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Ms. Shanika Khumri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the present writ petition was for seeking directions to the official respondents to take appropriate legal action against respondents No.4 and 5 who are the officials of the police force on the allegations pertaining to the violation of the rights of the petitioner.

A status report has been filed by way of affidavit of Rajeev Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Central, Faridabad, wherein it has been averred that the Departmental Enquiry was ordered against ESI Vijay Singh-respondent No.4. On completion of the said regular

Departmental Enquiry against the officials, the proceedings have been filed by the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, vide order No.819-23/ST dated 17.05.2023. It is also averred that the said respondent had already been superannuated on 30.04.2022.

In view of the fact that the prayer made in the present writ petition being only for seeking Departmental action against the police official-respondent and that an order has, thereafter, been passed, the prayer made in the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

The petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to pursue her remedies against the order passed by the officials respondents in a manner known to law.

November 16, 2023.
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No