

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9425-2023

Date of decision: 22.09.2023

SATPAL KUNDRA

....Petitioner.

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Ajayvir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioner under Article 226 of Constitution of India for directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the legal representation dated 06.08.2023 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner feeling aggrieved by the acts of respondent No.5 had moved a representation (Annexure P-6) to respondent No.2- The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, on 06.08.2023, but till date no action thereon has been taken. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the purpose of this petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2- The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to consider and dispose of the representation (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.
4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. After considering the respective submissions and the averments made in the petition, the petition is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to consider and dispose of the representation (Anenxure P-6) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner and the decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner.
6. Disposed of.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.09.2023
kanika

- i)

Whether speaking/reasoned?

Yes/No
- ii)

Whether reportable?

Yes/No