

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-3920-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 01.02.2023**

Harpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Shivdular Singh Dhillon and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. B. S. Seemar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Tuneet Walia, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is alleging violation of the order dated 03.05.2017, passed by the Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab, vide which, the *status quo* was directed to be maintained regarding possession and District Collector (Deputy Commissioner), Amritsar was directed to enter the gist of the order in the Roznamcha Waqiyati of the Halqa Patwari; as well as the order dated 05.12.2017, passed by this Court in CWP-27621-2017, vide which, it was directed that further proceedings in pursuance to aforesaid order shall remain stayed.

Separate replies, filed by way of the affidavits of the Collector-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar, Halqa Patwari of the village as well as Halqa Kanungo of the village, are on record, wherein the common stand taken by the respondents is that the mutation No. 10913, on the basis of the lease deed, was sanctioned on 15.04.2010 and the sale was affirmed by the court of Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar on 27.02.2013.

Thereafter, the petitioner challenged the said order before the Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab, in which vide order dated 03.05.2017, the matter was sent back to the District Collector and thereafter, the petitioner filed the aforesaid revision. It is further stated that the order dated 15.04.2010 was already entered into revenue record by way of mutation No. 65774 and was approved/accepted on 15.06.2015 by the competent authority and this fact was not brought to the notice of this Court.

Since it is the stand of the respondents that after passing of the order by the Financial Commissioner (Revenue), Punjab or by this Court, they have maintained the status quo and have not recorded any fresh entry in the revenue record, no case of any willful disobedience is made out against the respondents.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

Rule stands discharged.

01.02.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>