

2023:PHHC:148481-DB
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22392-2023
Date of decision:22.11.2023

Rakesh

....Petitioner

Versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

**Present: Mr. Rohit Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate,
for the respondent-Chandigarh Administration.**

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

On October 06, 2023, this Court had passed the following
order:-

*“It is the contention of the counsel for the
petitioner that the petitioner’s mother Shakuntla who has
now expired on 17.09.2021 had jointly applied alongwith
the petitioner under the Rehabilitation Scheme and was
allotted specific site no.228, Sector-25, Chandigarh.
Reliance is placed upon Annexure P-5 in this context.*

*Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate for the
respondents having advance copy puts in appearance and
prays for time to confirm the said fact.*

Adjourned to 22.11.2023.”

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petition at hand is bereft of the requisite information and details to enable the Administration to respond to the claim/grievance of the petitioner. Thus, she submits that it shall be expedient if the petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the petitioner to move the Administration with a comprehensive representation, with necessary information and details. She submits that as and when any such representation is submitted by the petitioner, the claim of the petitioner shall be considered and dealt with by the authorities. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by the learned counsel for the respondents, and submits that let the petition be disposed of, in terms of her statement.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

22.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No

