

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

228

CWP-28329-2019 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 12.10.2023.

SOHAN LAL SHARMA

.. Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Fateh Singh Bhullar, Advocate, for
Mr. Jasdeep Singh Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Shama, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Varun Mittal, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present writ petition is to the order dated 30.08.2019 (Annexure P-1) whereby respondent No.3 had been granted second extension post retirement allegedly in clear violation of the instructions (Annexure P-5) and in violation of the inquiry done by Joint Development Commissioner (Annexure P-2).

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Subhash Gill, Superintendent, Chief Engineer Officer, Panchayati Raj, Punjab, informs that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous since the extension granted to respondent No.3 has already come to an end and that the issue, if any, is only academic.

Keeping the aforesaid legal question open and noticing that so far as any subsisting grievance of the petitioner is concerned, that already stands having become infructuous and that the exercise would only be academic, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The question of law, however, is kept open.

CM-17737-CWP-2019

The instant application has been filed under Order 1 Rule 10 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for impleadment of Parkash Singh, Chief Engineer, Department of Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab as respondent No.4.

Since the main writ petition has been disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, the present application for impleadment is dismissed.

October 12, 2023
raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No