

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21093-2023
Date of decision: 03.11.2023

Ganga DeviPetitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran and another
....Respondents

2 **CWP-24404-2023**

Dharam PalPetitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran Nigam and another
....Respondents

3 **CWP-24096-2023**

Chhaju RamPetitioner

Versus

Haryana Shahri Vikas Pradhikaran and another
....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate, for
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate,
for the respondent-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, vide this
order, we shall dispose of all the three writ petitions, as the question

involved for consideration is common. However, the facts are being derived from CWP-21093-2023.

On September 21, 2023, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that petitioner’s case for allotment under the Oustees quota was rejected vide communication dated 28.02.2023 (Annexure P-6) as per the report of the Screening Committee. It is pointed out that it is not clear as to what was the deficiency and neither the petitioner was given any opportunity to make good the deficiency. The said order could thus be termed as non-speaking.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Pankaj Kundra, Advocate accepts notice for Mr.Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondents and prays for time to file response.

List on 10.01.2024”.

Concededly, no response has been filed, in terms of the order referred to above. However, learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP, on instructions, submits that since the order(s) that is being assailed, dated 28.02.2023, was passed without assigning any reasons, let these petitions be disposed of, at this stage, so as to enable the authorities to re-examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioners, as raised in the petitions. Whereupon, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed, assigning reasons in support thereof. Further, he submits that petitioners shall also be at liberty to supplement their claim by placing on record additional material/representation(s), if so advised.

Learned counsel for the petitioners are in agreement with the course suggested by the learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP, and

submit that let all these petitions be disposed of, at this stage, in view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents. However, the authorities be directed to pass the necessary orders within a specified time.

The petitions are accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall examine the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within four weeks from today, assigning reasons in support thereof. For the matter is not being decided on merits, all the questions raised by the petitioners are kept open to be examined, if the occasion so arises, in any subsequent proceedings.

Needless to assert, this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

03.11.2023
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No