

137

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20895-2023

Date of Decision:11.10.2023

SHAKUNTLA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondents No.1, 3 to 7.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 06.04.2009 (Annexure P-7) whereby husband of the petitioner was awarded penalty.

2. The impugned order was passed on 06.04.2009 whereas present petition has been filed in 2023.

3. On being confronted with aforesaid fact, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press his prayer qua setting aside of order dated 06.04.2009 (Annexure P-7), however, he submits that order dated 06.04.2009 (Annexure P-7) may be implemented in true spirit. The husband of the petitioner was penalized beyond the penalty order which is affecting pension of the petitioner.

4. Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate who on advance notice is present in Court submits that respondent would consider claim of the petitioner with respect to correct amount of pension and an appropriate order would be passed within 3 months from today.

5. In the wake of statements of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

11.10.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>