

110
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9182-2023(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.09.2023

Simran and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. G.S. Randhawa, Advocate, for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

Petitioner No.1 (girl) is of the age of 23 years whereas petitioner No.2 (boy) is aged 25 years. It is averred that the petitioners are residing in live-in-relationship and that the family of petitioner No.1 is opposed to the relationship and therefore, the petitioners are apprehending threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that scope of the present petition pertains only to the protection of lives of the petitioners. He has submitted that the petitioners have submitted a representation (Annexure P-3) dated 14.09.2023, to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala but no action has been taken on the same.

Heard. Record perused.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 only.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents. Copy stands supplied.

This Court does not wish to make any observations with regard to the validity of inter se relationship between the petitioners. However, since both the petitioners are stated to be residing in live-in relationship, the scope of present petition pertains only to protection of their lives.

This petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala that representation (Annexure P-3) filed seeking protection of life and liberty, be looked into and contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken, strictly in accordance with law for ensuring the protection of life of the petitioners.

25.09.2023

rakesh

Whether speaking
Whether reportable

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No