

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

329

CRM-M-46810-2023(O&M)  
Date of decision: 31.10.2023

SARABJIT SINGH AND ANR

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present : Mr. Aditya Anand, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Gurkirat Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SANJIV BERRY. J. (Oral)**

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated *NIL* (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

| FIR No. | Dated      | Sections                                                                                                          | Police Station                              |
|---------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 19      | 02.02.2021 | 420 and 120-B IPC;<br>Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional (Regulation) Act;<br>Section 24 of Immigration Act | Sadar Kapurthala,<br>District<br>Kapurthala |

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated *NIL* (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The learned

CRM-M-46810-2023(O&M)

Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala vide report dated 27.10.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kapurthala and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.19 dated 02.02.2021, under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC; Section 13 of Punjab Travel Professional (Regulation) Act; Section 24 of Immigration Act registered at Police Station Sadar Kapurthala, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

31.10.2023  
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/ No