

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9187-2023

Date of Decision: 04.10.2023

Pinki Kumari and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners are seeking issuance of directions to respondents No.2 & 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

4. As per petitioners, they got married on 13.09.2023 against the wishes of respondents No.4 & 5, who happen to be the family members/ relatives of petitioner No.1 i.e. Pinki Kumari.

5. Both the petitioners have claimed themselves to be major relying upon their Aadhar Cards indicating that petitioner No.1-Pinki Kumari is 2004 born and petitioner No.2-Krishan Singh is 1999 born.

6. Without commenting on the legality and validity of the marriage, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2 (Sr. Superintendent of Police, Khanna, District Ludhiana) to look into the

representation dated 13.09.2023 (Annexure P-5) submitted by the petitioners.

- 7. Keeping in view the fact that both the petitioners claim to have married, I think that if possible, respondent No.2 may call a meeting of the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 & 5 in his presence, so that the parties get rid of their ill feelings and live amicably.
- 8. Petition stands disposed off.
- 9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

04.10.2023
Deepak Patwal

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No