

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(252)

CWP-28463-2019**Date of decision: - 22.03.2023****Chand Shekar Goswami****....Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Yadwinder Singh Bhangu, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1, 4 and 5.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate
and Mr. Sarthak Soni, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 9.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 3 for taking action as envisaged under Sections 273 and 274 of the Punjab Municipal Corporation Act, 1976.

Written statement has been filed on behalf of respondent No.2 and 3 today in the Court and the same is taken on record. A copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 has submitted that in the present case needful has been done and the

dilapidated portion of upper floor of the building has already been demolished and the required action in pursuance of the notices issued by the Municipal Corporation have already been taken and no further action with respect to the said notices is required to be taken.

Learned counsel for the petitioner in view of the above has submitted that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

March 22, 2023

naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No