

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(122)

CWP-20562-2023

Date of decision: - 15.09.2023

Chandragupt Maury and others**....Petitioners****Versus****The State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Abhinav Aggarwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana,
for respondents No.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide the representation dated 05.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously within some time frame as the children of the petitioners urgently require their School Leaving Certificate, Detailed Marks Sheet, Migration Certificate, Character Certificate and other documents for further registration in other institute and University.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has reiterated the prayer made in the present writ petition and has submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied, at this stage, in case the competent authority of respondents considers the said representation (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and in case the pleas

raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, to grant them appropriate relief.

3. Learned State counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that the competent authority of the respondents would take a final decision on the representation dated 05.08.2023 (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law and the same would be done within a period of 15 days from the date of certified copy of this order.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of the respondents to take a final decision on the representation dated 05.08.2023 (Annexure P-4) within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the competent authority of the respondents is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be granted to the petitioners as expeditiously as possible and in case, the competent authority of the respondents is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioners are meritless, then, a speaking order rejecting the claim be passed within the aforesaid period of 15 days.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of the respondents would consider and decide the matter independently, in accordance with law.

September 15, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No