

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-2781-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 03.05.2023

Amrik Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Chandra and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Narender Kumar Suneja, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 16.01.2019, passed by this Court in CWP-1020-2019, vide which, **without expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim**, the respondents were directed to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner.

As per affidavit, filed by the Estate Officer, GMADA today in Court, the legal notice of the petitioner stands decided by passing a speaking order dated 08.09.2022, a copy of which is attached as Annexure R-2 with the affidavit.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so advised.

03.05.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No