

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-9973-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision:05.01.2023**

Umed	Vs.	... Petitioner
State of Haryana & others		... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.**

Present: Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.
...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 along with Annexure R-1 has been filed by learned State counsel. The same is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Complete copy has been furnished to counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner makes a statement at the bar that in view of the stand taken in the written statement, he has instructions not to pursue the instant writ petition in which challenge was to an order dated 11.10.2022 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Division Hisar, District Hisar declining the request of parole.

As per statement made by counsel, petition is disposed of as not pressed.

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

**(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE**

05.01.2023
harjeet

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable?	Yes/No