

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

132

CWP-20557-2023

Date of decision: 15.09.2023

SUNHERA RAM AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Ms. Nancy Vashistha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 6.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition invokes writ jurisdiction of this Court for seeking compensation on account of death of one Praveen Kumar-son of the petitioner No.1 on 09.03.2020 due to electrocution at Yamuna Nagar.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners contends that on 09.03.2020, the deceased Parveen Kumar went into the agricultural field to water the tomato crop which he has sown alongwith Naresh Kumar. When he turned on the motor of tubewell to water the crops, there was an electric wire (pole wire) just adjoining tubewell motor which consisted of live current. Suddenly, Parveen Kumar (deceased) came in contact of that pole wire and got electric shock.

Thereafter, deceased fell unconscious due to electrocution and was taken to Civil Hospital, Radaur by his family members from where he was referred to Civil Hospital, Yamuna Nagar, however, he died before reaching the Hospital. After the said incident, the matter was reported to the police and DDR No.2 dated 10.03.2020 was also registered with the police of Police Station Jathlana, District Yamuna Nagar. The Post Mortem of the deceased Parveen Kumar was also conducted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 to 6-UHBVNL contends that the incident is dated 09.03.2020 and that the policy dated 08.07.2019 notified by the UHBVNL relating to compensation to the victims for fatal/non-fatal accidents was then in force and that in the event of the petitioners submitting their claim before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be considered and an expeditious decision in terms of the above policy shall be taken thereupon.

The aforesaid contention/offer is accepted by the counsel for the petitioners without prejudice to his rights.

The present petition is thus disposed of with the consent of the respective parties and without prejudice to their respective rights and without commenting on the merits of their respective claims granting liberty to the petitioners to approach the respondent authorities for seeking disbursement of compensation in terms of applicable policy.

Needless to mention that in the event of filing of such claim/representation by the petitioners before the competent authority as per the policy, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the competent authority preferably within a period of 04 months from the

date of filing of such claim/representation, after granting an opportunity of hearing to the respective parties.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 15, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No