

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206

CRM-M-41728-2019

Date of Decision: 21.02.2023

Vijay Pal and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

On 20.11.2019 i.e almost 03 years & 03 months earlier, Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had granted interim bail to the petitioner and the said order is continuing till date. Although, the State opposes the continuation of bail on the ground to non-compliance of the undertaking made in the order dated 20.11.2019.

At this stage, petitioners' counsel states that he would have no objection if this Court imposes stringent conditions upon the petitioners, while making absolute the interim order dated 20.11.2019.

Given the undertaking, interim order dated 20.11.2019 is made absolute, subject to condition that the petitioners shall forward notarized affidavit to the Investigator/SHO and the complainant/victim(s) regarding complete details of bank account numbers with addresses, fixed deposits, DEMAT account numbers, the current market value of jewellery, sovereign metals, all precious articles, held either individually or jointly, and cash-in-hand. In case, the condition is not complied with, the State shall file an application for cancellation of bail and on this ground alone, this order shall be recalled.

Petition is allowed in the terms mentioned above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

21.02.2023

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no