

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

220/7

CWP-24164-2022 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 19.09.2023.

GIAN DEVI

... Petitioner

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Arshit Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.1/Union of India.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Mr. Om Parkash, District Social Welfare Officer,
Charkhi Dadri.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for seeking quashing of
impugned recovery Memo/E-Challan dated 13.10.2022 (Annexure P_5) passed
by respondent No.4-District Social Welfare Officer, Charkhi Dadri.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends
that the above said Memo has been issued without affording an opportunity of
hearing and that the petitioner had submitted an application to the respondents
much prior in point of time stating that since the family pension had been

released in her favour, hence, the pension under the Old Age Pension Scheme be discontinued.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Om Parkash, District Social Welfare Officer, Charkhi Dadri, submits that the above said Memo may be treated as withdrawn and that the respondents shall issue/pass a fresh Memo/order after granting an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and upon consideration of her submissions.

In view of the statement made, on instructions, by the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition.

Disposed of as not pressed.

Needless to mention that the respondent authorities shall remain bound by their statement made today in the Court.

September 19, 2023.
raj arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE